for Local Solar in Austin A Strategic Plan

Austin Local Solar Advisory Committee November 2012

Advisory Committee (LSAC) Austin's Local Solar

- Established by City Council in April 2012
- Charge: develop a "strategic plan with specific local solar energy resource base" recommendations to ensure the optimum utilization of Austin's
- 20 members appointed by City Council, representing a broad cross-section of the Austin community
- create strategic plan and recommendations LSAC met 16 times from May to November 2012 to
- Achieved unanimous vote in favor of Strategic Plan and included goals and recommendations on November 1,

LSAC Members

Jose Beceiro Greater Austin Chamber

of Commerce

Mark Begert Meridian Solar

Bernie Bernfeld Electric Utility

Commission

Monica De La Rosa Lava's Energy Supply

Stores

SolarBridge Technology

Northern Electrical

Contractors Association

Gabe Flores

Ron Van Dell

Joseph Hawkins A New Thing

Christine Herbert Resource Management

Commission

Solar Austin/Imagine

Michael Kuhn

Solar

Foundation Communities

Sunshine Mathon

Colin Meehan

Environmental Defense

Fund

Tuan Q Pham

Lighthouse Solar PowerFin Partners

Varun Rai

Stan Pipkin

University of Texas

Tom "Smitty" Smith **Public Citizen**

BJ Stanbery

John Sutton

Building Owners HelioVolt

Management

Association (BOMA)

Steve Wiese (Chair)

Texas Renewable Energy

Industries Association

(TREIA)

Roger Wood

CCARE

Salvador (Sal) Valdez RZ Communications

Benefits of Solar, and Local Solar

Benefits of solar

Peak coincidence, price stability, hedging against fuel price volatility, minimal environmental impact

Additional benefits of local solar

strengthens local capital investment, supports local institutions skilled jobs, promotes innovation clustering and leadership, pollution, spurs local economic development, provides high-Reduces line losses and congestion, reduces transmission through the tax base, costs, reduces local health costs as a result of reduced local

Comparative Economic Impact

While local solar has greater installed costs, local benefits associated with local solar development greatly exceed the local benefits associated with development of larger-scale and far-away solar installations, on a per MW basis.

Local Economic Development Impact per 10 MW of I	mpact per 10	MW of Instal	nstalled Capacity*	*
	Residential Comme	Commercial	Large Local	rcial Large Local Large Non-Local
Installed Costs (\$/Wdc)	\$3.90	\$3.30	\$2.40	\$1.80
Local Jobs				
During construction and installation period	341	281	165	1
During operating years	2.60	1.48	1.25	-
Local Wages \$000				
During construction and installation period	\$15,512	\$13,398	\$7,508	1
During operating years	\$137	\$81	\$69	1
Local Economic Output \$000				s
During construction and installation period	\$42,575	\$35,670	\$19,511	•
During operating years	\$259		\$126	
*				

and reward local economic development. non-local solar investments are assumed to be negligible, though these impacts can be improved through purchasing and contracting strategies that consider committee's modeling of economic impacts using the JEDI model does not consider local tax or manufacturing benefits. The local economic impacts of large modeled using 2011 wage information in the National Renewable Energy Laboratories' (NREL's) Jobs and Economic Development Impact (JEDI) model. The *Installed costs are estimated at reported 2012 costs from working group reports and industry information. Local jobs, wages, and economic output are

Scenarios Considered

- Business as usual
- 200 MW total
- $-~\sim$ 3% of 2020 total energy from solar
- solar Equaling projected growth in peak demand with new
- 400 MW total (200 MW local)
- ~5-6% of 2020 total energy from solar
- combination of resources including solar Replacing generation from Decker or Fayette with a
- 600 MW total (300 MW local)
- \sim 8-9% of 2020 total energy from solar

Recommendations to City Council

- Adopt a long-term (2020) goal to, at a minimum, meet projected demand of at least 400 MW, including 200 MW of local solar, as technically and fulfillment of this goal, while meeting current affordability goals. Our growth with solar energy, expand programs and policies to enable economically achievable. review of costs, policies, programs and options support a 2020 solar goal
- as part of the Generation Plan. assumptions required for meeting the 400 MW solar goal and an interim **Direct** Austin Energy to develop and present a detailed plan and planning (2016) goal of 135-200 MW, including 85-120 MW of local solar as recommended herein,

	38.8 135-200*	38.8	Total Recommended Solar Goal
200	50-80*	0	Other Solar
100	45-80*	31	Large Local
55	20	1.4	Commercial
45	20	6.4	Residential
2020	2016	Today	Local Solar

Recommendations to City Council

- local solar while supporting the stability of our utility and the environmental requirements change, in order to maximize the benefits of Consider increasing local solar goals as market conditions and
- sustainability of our community. the environment. distribution costs, line losses, local jobs and economic development, and consider and quantify local solar's impacts on transmission and investment in local solar into the Generation Plan. These criteria would **Direct** Austin Energy to incorporate evaluation criteria for the utility's

Austin's Existing Solar Goal – 200 MW by 2020

200 MW

all solar – local and non-local

LSAC's Recommended Solar Goal – 400 MW by 2020

Local Solar

Other Solar

45 MW 55 MW

rebates res

PBI

comm

local utility 100 MW

other utility

200 MW

PPA – auction

or standard offer

Residential Solar

current: 6.4 MW | 2016 goal: 20 MW | 2020 goal: 45 MW

- the capacity of new installations annually, achieving 40-50 MW by 2020. Big picture: reduce rebate budgets and incentive levels while increasing
- **Financing** accelerate/magnify rebate program results with financing
- Access community solar, solar green choice
- for tracking local economic development, continue EUC and RMC involvement **Transparency** – publish multi-year program projections, establish targets and metrics
- interaction with time of use rates Rates – support Value of Solar approach, consider a floor value, clarify mechanics of
- Ī streamline permitting, integrate inspection process Other options – facilitate neighborhood buying opportunities,



Commercial Solar

current: 1.4 MW | 2016 goal: 20 MW | 2020 goal: 55 MW

- Big picture: increase volume of PBI annual commitments while phasing PBI incentive level to zero, achieving 50-60 MW by 2020
- shorten payback PBI/rebate hybrid options targeted to small commercial and non-profit customers to **PBI program** – increase project eligibility cap to 1 MW, consider shorter-term PBI or
- Solar rate to commercial solar installations in lieu of proposed demand credit Rates – consider credit against demand charge for customers with solar, increase net metering eligibility cap to match PBI project eligibility cap, study application of Value of
- I **Transparency** — publish multi-year program projections
- 1 PBI payments are taxable self-directed solar programs, obtain legal opinion on whether and industrial customers, consider allowing large customers to top into Other options — establish community solar/solar green choice options for commercial

Commercial

55 MW PBI

Local Utility-Owned/-Contracted Solar

current: 31 MW | 2016 goal: 45-80 MW | 2020 goal: 100 MW


- solar while benefiting the utility and local economy Big picture: utility projects around Austin combine benefits of large
- incremental, location of development at utility's discretion **Projects** – developed on rooftops, covered parking facilities, on empty lots,
- green choice models **Contracting** — utility procures power via reverse auction or standard offer, bundles energy into the rate base and/or sells it under voluntary community solar or solar
- Identifying available land/rooftop space competitive rooftop lease bid process engages local land and building owners to participate in solar development
- Other recommendations bundled/coordinated purchases attract taxing jurisdictions facilitates predictable/consistent capital investment to Austin, coordination of/with local

I

Local Utility

100 MW

PPA auction or standard offer



Annual Utility Costs and Savings

2.00%	0.20%	-0.64%	2020
2.00%	0.27%	-0.19%	2019
2.00%	0.28%	0.05%	2018
2.00%	0.31%	0.18%	2017
2.00%	0.31%	0.18%	2016
2.00%	0.30%	0.27%	2015
2.00%	0.30%	0.30%	2014
2.00%	0.30%	0.30%	2013
Aff. Limit	Only)	and Other)	Year
	Revenue (Local	Revenue (Local	
	of Est. Tot.	of Est. Tot.	
	Solar Cost as %	Solar Cost as %	

and capacity) in utility/contractor owned purchases will be crucial to accommodate deviations of depending on the cost of new gas generation and solar prices looking forward. Flexibility (timing new natural gas and solar prices from those assumed in the analysis. recommended by the Committee could be under the current 2 percent annual affordability limit, These figures indicate that utility investments required to meet the 400 MW goal as

Local Economic Impact

200 MW of local solar development as recommended in this plan produces:

- Local Jobs and Wages
- 3,364 local job-years (2,514 direct jobs, 850 induced)
- Average of 420 local direct and induced jobs each year from 2013 to 2020
- Average wages estimated at approximately \$46,000 per year
- Local wages through 2020 total \$157 million, NPV 5% is \$124 million
- Local Economic Output
- \$360 million, NPV 5% is \$285 million
- Potential Additional Impact of Non-Local Solar
- and contracting strategies that consider and reward local economic Benefits may be enhanced by investments in non-local solar using purchasing development

Local Economic Output	Local Wages	Local Jobs	
c Output			
\$32,373	\$13,074	283	2013
\$25,547	\$10,425	225	2014
\$44,253	\$19,460	416	2015
\$46,473	\$20,549	439	2016
\$58,753	\$26,470	564	2017
\$42,787	\$18,645	398	2018
\$66,960	\$30,030	640	2019
\$43,420	\$18,622	398	2020
\$360,566	\$157,276	3,364	Total
\$285,403	\$157,276 \$124,223	na	NPV _{5%}

All dollar figures in thousands. Modeled with the National Renewable Energy Laboratories' Jobs and Economic Development Impact (JEDI) model.

Health and Environmental Impacts

Impacts on local health:

- Reduced pollution offsets real economic costs to the community such as emergency room visits, premature deaths, and missed work days
- We estimate the economic impact of pollution that could be offset by 200 MW of local solar as recommended herein to be approximately \$15 million
- dispersion of the generation portfolio. The JEDI model's quantification of local economic If non-local solar also displaces local fossil generation, impact could be higher. Health benefits does not include health benefits. impacts may not entirely accrue within Austin Energy's service area due to geographic

Impacts on the local environment:

- Reduced water consumption for the production of energy
- Reduced smog
- Healthier ecosystems, cleaner rivers, soils and air

Summary

The Local Solar Advisory Committee's recommendations:

- Are fiscally sound and affordable
- Are supportive of our municipally-owned utility
- Result in net economic and environmental benefits for the community

of the Local Solar Advisory Committee in November 2012, an optimal environment in which solar can grow, keeping Austin a healthier, economically vibrant, represents a path forward for Austin to become and visionary community for years to come. The Strategic Plan for Local Solar in Austin, adopted unanimously by the members